AO 245B

Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts Statement of Reasons - Sheet 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Massachusetts

UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	$^{\circ}A$
-------------------------	-------------

5	Г	A	Т	Е	Ν	41	3)	١	T	0	F	R	E.	٩S	O	P	V.	į
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	----	---

	v.										
JOSI	E LUIS CRUZ		Case Number: 1: 04 CR 10149 - 001 - RWZ								
			Charles P. McGinty, Esquire								
			Defendant's Attorney								
x	The court adopts the factual find	dings and guideli	ine application in the presentence report.								
	·		OR								
	The court adopts the factual fine	lings and guideli	ine application in the presentence report, except (see attachment, if necessary):							
	The court adopts the factor frames and gardenne approaches in the presentence report, except (see attachment, it necessary).										
			See Continuation Page								
Guideline	Range Determined by the Cour	rt:	See Continuation Fage								
	Total Offense Level:	21									
	Criminal History Category:	III									
	Imprisonment Range:	46	to <u>57</u> months								
	Supervised Release Range:	2	to 3 years								
	Fine Range:	\$ <u>\$7,500.00</u>	to \$ <u>\$75,000.00</u>								
			04/26/05								
Defendant's	Soc. Sec. No.: 000-00-3471		Date of Imposition of Judgment								
Defendant's	Date of Birth: 00-00-1977										
Defendant's	USM No.: 25221-038		Kyan bother								
Defendant's	Residence Address:		Signature of Judicial Officer								
	County House of Correction		The Honorable Rya W. Zobel								
26 Long Po	ond Road		Judge, U.S. District Court								
Plymouth,	Mass. 02360		Name and Title of Judicial Officer								
			-								
			May 7, 2005								
			Date								

Same

Defendant's Mailing Address:

AO 245B Judgment in a Criminal Case - D. Massachusetts Statement of Reasons - Sheet 2

Statement of Reasons - Page JOSE LUIS CRUZ DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: 1: 04 CR 10149 - 001 - RWZ STATEMENT OF REASONS Fine waived or below the guideline range because of inability to pay. Total Amount of Restitution: \$ _ Discretionary restitution is not ordered because the complication and prolongation of the sentencing process resulting from the fashioning of a restitution order outweighs the need to provide restitution to any victims, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(B)(ii) (or in offenses committed before April 23, 1996, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(d)). Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(A). Restitution pursuant to the mandatory victim restitution provisions is not ordered in this title 18 property offense because determining complex issues of fact and related to the cause of amount of the victim's losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(3)(B). For offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994 but before April 23, 1996 that require the total amount of loss to be stated, pursuant to Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18, restitution is not ordered because the economic circumstances of the defendant do not allow for the payment of any amount of a restitution order, and do not allow for the payment of any or some portion of a restitution order in the foreseeable future under any reasonable schedule of payments.

Partial restitution is ordered, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c), for the following reason(s):

AO 245B		nent in a Criminal Case - Innent of Reasons - Sheet 3). Massachusetts					
DEFENDA CASE NUN		JOSE LUIS CRUZ 1: 04 CR 10149	- 001 - RWZ		Statement of Reasons	- Page 3	of	3
			STATEMEN	T OF REA	SONS			
		s within the guideline rang		ot exceed 24 mo	nths, and the court find	s no reason	to depart from	the
				OR				
The s	entence	is within the guideline rar	nge, that range exceed	ls 24 months, ar	nd the sentence is impor	sed for the fo	ollowing reason	ns:
				OR				
The so	entence -	departs from the guideline	e range:					
	•	tion of the government, as		nt's substantial	assistance, or			
The cons	criminal ecutive :	bllowing specific reason(s history calculation overrestate sentences of 1 year fat in administrative detention	epresents the criminal or the two separate of	I conduct there i	reflected. The sentence at concurrent sentences	imposed is s of 2 years,	based on as well as cred	lit
						☐ See	Continuation I	Page